

SATURDAY, JUNE 9, 2018 SUN PEAKS, B.C.

# **PLENARY #1**

# CALL TO ORDER BY CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON (2:00 P.M.)

#### INTRODUCTION - WELCOME - CHAIR OF THE BOARD RANDY HENDERSON

We'd like to call things to order. It's always a pleasure to have the Vancouver Canucks host the Silver Skate Award luncheon. It was another great year to recognize all of our successes around the Branch.

We'll now begin the business portion of the weekend with the BC Hockey Annual General Meeting. I'd like to welcome you to the 99th Annual General Meeting of BC Hockey. It's always a pleasure to first introduce our Life Members in attendance. I would like to introduce, and where did he go Mr. Don Freer - Don. And he just told me, knock it out of the park, the little rascal. Okay, unfortunately, Frank Lento, Alan Matthews; Al is in the hospital, Bob Mullock, Ray Peebles, and Don Schmaltz were all unable to be with us this weekend, they all send their regards and wish they could have been here. On behalf of the membership I want to thank the Life Members for their continued love of the game and their continued support of the Branch. BC

We're pleased to have a number of special guests joining us this afternoon. I'll ask you to please hold your applause until the introductions are completed. We have Murray Wiseman from Wiseman & Mills, BC Hockey's Chartered Accountant and Auditor, and Pamela Thompson, our Recording Secretary. I don't know if there is a prompt for that.

There are a number of Coordinators who Chair our Program Committees or Task Groups in attendance, I'd like to introduce them. Female Program of Excellence Committee, Whitney Juskiewicz; the Coach Committee, David Marshall; Safety Committee, Anne Deitch; Officiating Committee, Sean Raphael; Female and Male Midget AAA Committee, Sean Orr; BC Hockey Operations Task Group, June McKenzie; the Minor Relations and Communications Task Group, Dave Buck; the Junior Committee, Phil Iddon. Thank you.

In addition, we'd like to acknowledge at this time our Appeals Committee. We need to extend our appreciation to these individuals for their efforts on our behalf. The Appeals Committee consisted of Cliff Chiu, as the Chair; Wendy Stedman, Mark Bakken, Lawrence Kinch, and Wilfe Liefke.

Our Nominating Committee this year was comprised of Mike Bruni, Bruce Hamilton, Chuck Gallacher, and Alan Matthews.







Now our esteemed Life Member has just returned to the room, Mr. Freer.

Finally, I would like to thank your Board of Directors, our Board of Directors, for a great year of service. I'd like to recognize each individual and their service to BC Hockey over the course of the 2017/18 hockey season – Chuck Campbell, Bill Greene, Brian Harrison, Andrew Jakubeit, Darryl Lerum, Neil McNabb. Cindy Secord, I would like you to stand up, my dear, thank you. Cindy, as you are aware, stepped away from the Board to fulfill a very exciting job for us. It was something that had Cindy Secord stamped on it when we came up with it, so good luck, Cindy, I know you'll do a tremendous job for the whole Branch. The final one is Grant Zimmerman.

At this point I'd like to call Cindy Secord up. Cindy has resigned her position on the Board to take on a new position, a very important one, as BC Hockey Female Programming Coordinator. Thank you, Cindy, for your efforts on the Board last year. I'd like to present you, Cindy, with this as a token of our appreciation for all your efforts; I know you'll be back.

(NOTE: Presentation to Cindy Secord.)

Cindy was also Chair of the Credentials Committee Work Group, and the information on the Credentials Work Committee has been circulated for your review. The Credentials Committee Work Group for the AGM also consists of Chuck Campbell and June McKenzie. Cindy will now present the Report from the Credentials Committee.

#### CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE WORK GROUP REPORT - Cindy Second

Good afternoon. Thank you, Randy, and thank you, Chuck and June, for your hard work. Our Credentials Report this year, I will read the category and the number of votes.

#### So it's:

MINOR AND DISTRICT ASSOCIATIONS	89
MINOR LEAGUES AND TEAMS	361
JUNIOR A LEAGUES AND TEAMS	0
JUNIOR B LEAGUES AND TEAMS	21
JUNIOR FEMALE	0
SENIOR FEMALE LEAGUES AND TEAMS	1
SENIOR MALE LEAGUES AND TEAMS	0
DIRECTORS	7
	479







#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON

Thank you, Cindy. I would like now to ask for the adoption of the Report of the Credentials Committee Work Group. I need a mover and a seconder.

# ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER

Are we on, are we good?

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

That's working.

# **ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER**

Robert Nasato, New Westminster.

#### **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON**

Robert Nasato, New West. Do we have a seconder – Harbs Bains. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? That's carried. Thank you very much.

MOTION – ADOPTION OF REPORT OF THE CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE WORK GROUP MOVER – ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – HARBS BAINS CARRIED

I would now like to call upon our CEO, Barry Petrachenko to review meetings procedures and deliver the Financial Report.

#### **BUSINESS ITEMS**

#### BARRY PETRACHENKO – CEO – BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Randy. And if I may just take a quick liberty with the microphone. I don't get a chance to do this anywhere else in the festivities this weekend, but at the end of this session it brings to a close our Chair of the Board, Randy Henderson's term in that position and I just wanted to acknowledge something; I've mentioned it a couple of times at our member meetings, but I think it bears repeating if you've heard it before and I certainly want to express it for the record. Randy spent four years, the first year he was the President under the old system in the transition year, and then three years as the Chair of the Board, with a very difficult job, as anyone out there who has been a part of a Board during a Governance transition, time would know – that's a time where you have to show great leadership, you have to earn the respect of the people around you, you have to be a little bit of everything, and you have to be very, very committed to the goal and to the end of the road. And I'm very proud of our Board of Directors and our organization for the changes they made in Governance, but also for the way that they stuck to it, and Randy was the leader of that as the Chair of the Board, but certainly never







shunned that duty and did a fantastic job. And that makes everything better for BC Hockey, certainly made my work easier and better, and I think it ends up in better results, and I just wanted to personally thank you, Randy, for that.

All right, before I get started on the Finance Report, I have a couple of business items to take care of. First of all, our Scrutineers during the election will be our Staff Coordinators to assist our lone Life Member, Don Freer. The orange voting cards, the larger card is for Resolutions and Voting, as you've already done, and then the election ballots are, of course, the smaller card with the names for Board elections. If we do have a recorded vote during Resolutions, we'll utilize those pull off tabs on the voting card itself. Our Recording Secretary, as always, and she always does it personally, just to remind me, has asked to announce your name if you speak and the Association you are with; it just makes her job a lot easier, and so we would appreciate that. And with that, are there any questions with respect to meeting proceedings here this afternoon?

(**NOTE**: No questions regarding procedures.)

# **FINANCIAL REPORT**

# BARRY PETRACHENKO – CEO – BC HOCKEY

Okay, on to the Finance Report, and I'd to throw out a quick thank you to our Accounting staff, Deborah Brougham, Sean Mitchelmore, Alex Kay, with oversight from Carla Soares, another good year in that department and a lot of hard work done on our behalf.

I also want to acknowledge our Audit firm of Wiseman & Mills, and Murray Wiseman and Jen Cheeseman are both here this afternoon. Maybe stand up, guys. Thank you very much.

My slides don't seem to be advancing. Oh, there we go. Okay, my flicker is back on, Randy, I'm fine.

The Finance Report today will cover the Audited Financial Statements, they're in the proceedings book on pages 2 to 17. These were distributed on May 30<sup>th</sup> and provide us with an overview of our operation over the last fiscal year. It also provides you with assurances relative to our reporting on those finances. And then looking ahead, I'll also touch on a few items from the budget for the coming season. This document was distributed on February the 20<sup>th</sup>. And then I'll touch on a few items having to do with membership fee and insurance premiums.

So the Audited Financial Statements, starting on page 2, we did experience a deficiency of revenue over expense this year of \$170,062, and I wanted to outline the factors that went into that.

The Statement of Operations gives you this insight on page 6, if you want to flip to that, and items that the Board had planned on to be subsidized by the General and Development Fund in the amount of \$84,000 related to Capital Budget Upgrades, Program of Excellence, Strength and Conditioning Coach, Regional Centre Okanagan startup, and in that I just wanted to note that the costs for the startup of the Regional Centre North were offset by the legacy from the 2017 Telus Cup, so very thankful, again, to







the City of Prince George doing a great job hosting that event and also for having the foresight to partner with us, with the legacy, to open that northern centre.

We also had a 100<sup>th</sup> Anniversary expenses, the Board had put away funds in previous seasons so that we weren't going out to the membership to cover the cost of that celebration in the year that it was happening, and so that was in the amount of \$16,500. The majority of the costs for the celebrations, of course, will happen in this fiscal year of 2018/19.

Our Provincial Government and Gaming Grants were 170,000 lower this year than we had budgeted and that accounts for a big portion of our number here. In the sense that those applications are a bit of a guessing game from time to time we had based our budget this past season off of feedback we had received from the government in previous years and thought we had the estimate dialed in but we obviously did not. That was offset, however, by a Reserve Fund set aside by the Board in previous years for the specific purpose of if we ever ran into a deficit situation or reduced government funding, sorry. And page 5 of your booklet will show the transfers from internally restricted funds for government funding in the 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary in the amount of \$197,000.

Do I have the wrong page numbers? Okay, every page number I say it's off by one, is it greater or less than?

(UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: Greater than.)

#### **BARRY PETRACHENKO**

I really apologize for that. All the planning in the world but sometimes you're out by one number.

The coming year's budget which was distributed back in February, approved by the Board at that time, I just want to point out a couple of items, or a few items with respect to the budget. The budget has increased compared to previous seasons in the amount of \$1.56M. It now includes the following items that account for that. Membership and Insurance Premiums have risen; I'll touch on that in a second. Our Hockey Canada Funding increases this year. This is a year where we're hosting the World Junior Championships and so we've budgeted for our feedback and our - the ticket sales have been an interest in the event have been outstanding and so we safely and conservatively budgeted for a little bit of funding there. Player Development Programing, addition of Zone Pilot Programs, and our Regional Centres, which we also had offset by reduction to the Female POE and Female Midget AAA. which is now our Female Elite Program, it was \$140,000 reduction due to program restructure there. We also included \$38,000 in subsidies from the Unrestricted General and Development Fund, this is preparation for the talk I just gave on what happened last year when we planned in this matter. It reflects on the Financial Statements as more expense than income, but we planned for that - capital upgrades funded by estate gifts in past years, and then Championships in the amount of \$33,000 to offset Championship expenses until further review of the Host Grants was conducted by the Board of Directors.







Just a note too, the Budget doesn't include the Minor Midget Program, that's a break even program so it's not a concern as far as the final numbers, but that program was not developed until after we had our member meetings and our members gave full support to move forward with that program, and so those numbers were developed after the Budget was reviewed and approved by the Board and has subsequently gone to Board approval.

The Membership Fee, this is just a reminder.

We, years ago, adopted a procedure where every three years we, the membership, considers a motion to increase the membership fee by \$0.25 a year in order to avoid larger increases after a larger, or a greater amount of time, and the next AGM will include a motion that will continue that practice for, or include a Resolution for the membership's consideration to consider that practice, so you can expect that next year.

Our insurance premiums, they are increasing. We notified the membership of this, but just want to give a bit of a reminder. This was discussed last year as well during the Finance Report here at this meeting and we sent a memorandum out on it on February 20<sup>th</sup>. They're increasing from Hockey Canada from \$16.50 to \$21.30, that's a fee set by Hockey Canada and their Insurance Committee; it's out of our hands. We basically collect those funds from you and pass them onto Hockey Canada. And the reason for the increase is due to the enhancements to the benefit amounts within the A.D. and D., the Accidental Death and Dismemberment, and other ancillary benefits that have been built in, as well as the changing insurance markets and fluctuations in insurance costs over the past two decades. It's been almost two full decades since we've had an insurance increase and I think everyone would agree that the insurance program provided by Hockey Canada is well worth the money that we pay, but that is an adjustment that will be reflected in our coming year.

That concludes the Finance Report for today. I would like to thank the members of the Finance Committee - our Chair, Chuck Campbell, Brian Harrison, Darryl Lerum, Neil McNabb and Cindy Secord. Either the Finance Committee or myself can attempt to address any questions related to the information I've shared with you here this afternoon.

All right, seeing none then I'll turn it back over to you, Randy. We do have some business with a couple of motions stemming from the Finance Report.

(**NOTE**: No questions regarding Finance Report.)

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Sorry, got to remind you again, don't dance with bears. Thank you, Barry. As Barry mentioned we've got a few housekeeping items we've got to get out of the way here.

As per Bylaw 908, I would now ask for a motion to approve the 2017/18 Audited Financial Statements. Do I have a mover? Mr. Campbell, thank you. Do I have a seconder? Mr. Harrison, thank you. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? That motion is carried.







MOTION – AS PER BYLAW 908, APPROVE 2017/2018 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MOVER – CHUCK CAMPBELL, BC HOCKEY SECONDER – BRIAN HARRISON, BC HOCKEY CARRIED

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

Under Bylaw 903, I would like to now suggest we appoint Wiseman & Mills as the auditors for BC Hockey for the 2018/2019 fiscal year. Do I have a mover? Bill Greene. Seconder, Chuck Campbell. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? That motion is carried.

MOTION - AS PER BYLAW 903, APPOINT WISEMAN & MILLS AS THE AUDITORS FOR BC HOCKEY FOR THE 2018/2019 SEASON MOVER - BILL GREENE, BC HOCKEY SECONDER - CHUCK CAMPBELL, BC HOCKEY CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

The Minutes from the 98<sup>th</sup> AGM have been circulated. I will now ask for a mover and a seconder to adopt the 98<sup>th</sup> AGM Minutes as circulated.

## **ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER**

Robert Nasato, New West, motion to approve the minutes.

#### <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

Thank you, Rob. Seconder?

#### **RICK GRANT - PACIFIC COAST**

Not Rob Nasato from not New Westminster. Rick Grant from Pacific Coast, I second it.

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

Thanks very much, Rick. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? That motion's carried. Thank

MOTION – TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FROM THE 98<sup>TH</sup> BC HOCKEY AGM AS CIRCULATED MOVER – ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – RICK GRANT, PACIFIC COAST CARRIED







#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I now require a mover and a seconder for the adoption of the Coordinator and Director Reports from the 2017/2018 Annual Report?

Neil Mc Nabb. Seconded, Bill Greene. Any discussion? All those in favour? That motion is carried.

# MOTION – ADOPTION OF THE COORDINATOR AND DIRECTOR REPORTS FROM THE 2017/2018 ANNUAL REPORT

MOVER – NEIL MC NABB, BC HOCKEY SECONDER – BILL GREENE, BC HOCKEY CARRIED

### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Now, under the requirements of the new Society Corporations Act, I would move that the Board of Directors activities for the 2017/2018 year be ratified. Do I have a mover?

#### **ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER**

Robert Nasato, New West.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you. You're having a tough time getting out there, Rick, sorry about that.

# RICK GRANT - PACIFIC COAST

I'll second it, Rick Grant.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, Rick Grant.

#### **RICK GRANT - PACIFIC COAST**

Pacific Coast.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Pacific Coast. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? That motion is carried.

MOTION – BOARD OF DIRECTORS ACTIVITIES FOR THE 2017/2018 YEAR BE RATIFIED MOVER – ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – RICK GRANT, PACIFIC COAST

CARRIED







#### **NOTICES OF MOTION**

# **RESOLUTIONS**

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Okay, we'll now begin the Resolution portion of today's business. I will serve as your Resolutions Chair this afternoon. Okay,

we will have the Resolutions up. Oh, you're ready to roll, oh boy. Thank you all for your patience and attention.

We'll start with Resolution 1. Rob.

#### **ROB NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER**

We normally put all the Resolutions on the floor for discussion, and then we just go boom, boom, should we do that?

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I think that's a fine idea and....

# **ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER**

I'd make the motion to move all the Resolutions for discussion.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Thank you, seconder?

#### LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Wishing I was Rob Nasato from New West Minor Hockey; it's Lynn Kiang from Pacific Coast.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Lynn. Any discussion? All those in favour? That motion is carried. So our movers and seconders will be Rob and Lynn.

MOTION – TO MOVE ALL RESOLUTIONS FOR DISCUSSION MOVER – ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – LYNN KIANG – PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

**Resolution 1** is Article 2.1. Discussion, Lynn?







#### **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

# Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

So I don't actually have a problem with the motion, I think it's a wonderful motion. I just wanted to, because this is the chance we get to speak to the Board. Last night's panel discussion was absolutely excellent, but one of the things that struck me was that it didn't reflect the diversity of BC Hockey, either gender or nationality or – and I would just like to ask that that be considered for future panels.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Absolutely, Lynn, and I appreciate that feedback. Okay, any discussion with regard to the motion now in front of us? I've had the question called, all those in favour? Opposed? That motion is carried.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-01 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

**Resolution 2** is Bylaw 303, and I see we've gone someone ready to speak.

## **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

#### Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. I'd just like to say that actually I'm opposed to this motion. Primarily, it's because I feel, and I think a lot of people feel that it's inappropriate for someone who is paid by the membership to actually do the discipline. I think the CEO should be in control of the process but not the decision-making. You know, I know that Barry feels he's accountable to the members but it really is quite indirect. The CEO's position is an indirect report to the membership, whereas the Board and the Chairman of the Board, as it is worded right now, is directly through an election accountable to us, so I'm opposing it on that basis. Not anything against Barry as CEO or anything, just process-wise I think the CEO should control the process as a professional but the final decision should come to the Chair or the Board as a whole as it relates to suspensions and that's the reason I'm going to be – I'm opposing this motion.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you. Any other discussion items? There we go.

#### Q. <u>DAVID HORRICKS - SAANICH MINOR HOCKEY</u>







Hello, David Horricks, Saanich Minor Hockey. I just had a question about the last sentence in the proposed changes, "A player may not be fined", was that – that's intended to be taken out?

# A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

"A player may not be fined."

# Q. DAVID HORRICKS - SAANICH MINOR HOCKEY

Yeah, but it's – it's scratched out, so presumably that means that a player may be fined, is that correct?

# A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

No. If you look up above in the first line, "fine" is scratched out there in the first line of the proposed changes.

# Q. DAVID HORRICKS- SAANICH MINOR HOCKEY

Right, okay. Okay, so I'll reserve my comments for a subsequent Resolution then, thanks.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, thank you. Okay, any further discussion – Chuck?

# A. CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

This Resolution is intended with the reference to participants to refer to those involved in the game, and so what this really involves is the enforcement of penalties which are established for offences during the game established under the rules generally of Hockey Canada. This isn't a case of disciplining members; that's covered in a later Resolution, so I don't see any difficulty with the enforcement of the Regulations of Hockey Canada with respect to in game activities being carried out by the CEO. In any case there are appeal procedures if the person who is penalized, which is to appeal and those appeal procedures would obviously overrule the decision of the CEO. So I would urge you to support the Resolution.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Chuck. There is a Task Group that serves us. Barry, any comment?

# A. BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

I think Chuck addressed it appropriately. The accountability is within the rules that would lead to the discipline. This is not discipline of members, it's clearly delineated that the Chair of the Board would continue to have that power and only the Chair of the Board would have that power to take action against members, it was never intended to be any different. So to Mr. Nasato's comments earlier, it's – it's – suspensions is an operational job. In Minor Hockey Associations the President does suspensions as their operational side of things. The CEO position is more of a President than the Chair of the Board is in our structure. It's not a common thing that we talk about, we don't even – we don't even address that issue, but in our structure if this doesn't pass then our setup of our policies with







respect to conduct are – will have great difficulty because it's all operational people appointed, like myself as the CEO, that carry out these duties.

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

I see another comment coming, thank you.

# Q. <u>CAROLYN HART – RICHMOND MINOR HOCKEY</u>

The current wording includes that the discipline action may be delegated. Is there an issue with delegating it to the Chief Executive Officer? Could you help me understand why we need to change the wording rather than have you delegate it? Thank you.

# A. BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

Just a comment there. In most situations it is delegated through our structure. For example, our Junior Coordinator handles the suspension in the Junior Division, so it's already delegated from me in most cases. This just – because I'm the only employee of the Board of Directors, this is how it needs to be worded in the Bylaw.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I hope that explains things for you. Okay, any other further discussion? Okay, all those in favour? Opposed? I think that one is – I'm sorry, I'm going to ask for a count, I really am, because we've – this is – this is a Bylaw and I know we need more than just a simple majority, so I'd ask our scrutineers – all those in favour, please raise your cards?

(**NOTE**: At this point there is a card count by the Scrutineers.)

# **UNIDENTIFIED MALE**

Randy, Randy.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Go ahead, proceed. I'm sorry?

# **UNIDENTIFIED MALE**

As soon as I find out where it goes on – hell, I can yell loud enough. I ask that the vote be out of order. There were tables holding 4 and 5 votes up, my understanding is we're allowed 3.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Three.

# **UNKNOWN MALE**

I'd ask that it be a written vote, please.

#### <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>







Okay. If we can – okay, the Scrutineers were aware of the fact that it was only a maximum of 3 votes per person and they were informing the people that only 3 of the votes were being counted.

# **UNIDENTIFIED MALE**

Okay, do you wish to proceed on that?

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

I beg your pardon?

#### **UNIDENTIFIED MALE**

The Board wishes to proceed on that?

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY**

Okay. Have we got a number, Carla?

We're nowhere near. I believe I can safely assume that that motion has failed by the affirmative votes that were passed. Because this is a Bylaw we therefore require a 75% majority, so that motion fails.

# MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-02 DEFEATED

## CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Resolution 3, Bylaw 423, discussion? All right, seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? Carried.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-03 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY Resolution 4.

### **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

# Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. Actually, it's – I'll start with a question to Barry, basically. I believe if I'm correct, in Bylaw, I think it's 228, says the Board has the ability to suspend members, and this says the Chairman of the Board, and I just want to know if that's correct what the Bylaw – like 'cause this effectively, if my understanding of 228 is correct, this sort of conflicts with that, so I just want to see where you're on that. In short, I don't have a problem with the Board – members are Associations, and you know, it's important. Okay, so Bylaw 228 says,







"The Board shall have the power to discipline or suspend any member that breaches or violates any Bylaw, Regulation, Playing Rule or Policy of the Society, including discipline by fine."

So I'm just wondering if this saying the Chairman of the Board shall perform the duty is in conflict with that other Bylaw, question to you, Barry?

#### A. BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

Okay, you know, Grant's not here as our Chair of Governance, who prepared these, so I'm not sure. I would defer to any member of the Governance Committee as to whether or not that discussion took place as to that cross-reference. My assumption is, is that the intent of the motion was to have both Bylaws in line with the Chair of the Board having that responsibility and I would assume that because the wording is that it's the Chair of the Board that they just missed the reference in 228, but I'm making an assumption there because I was not part of that.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Chuck.

# A. <u>CHUCK CAMPBELL – DIRECTOR – BC HO</u>CKEY

Yeah, I think that this was put – brought forward together with Resolution 2, which was defeated. I think it might be best if this – if this change was withdraw for reconsideration by the Governance Committee.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Chuck. Lynn?

# LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Okay, if it's going to be withdrawn, then what I have to say is irrelevant so....

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Well no, what you're about to say was that you believe it should be sent back to Governance for – see I've got these little spiders....

## LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Yes, you're absolutely right, Randy, that is exactly what I wish to say.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, my dear, so what we'll do is refer this back to Governance for their reconsideration. I believe we can table this until the June 2019 AGM. Moved by Brian Harrison, seconded by Chuck. All those in favour? That motion is carried.







MOTION – RESOLUTION 2018-04 – TO TABLE TO JUNE 2019 AGM – (TO BE REFERRED BACK TO GOVERNANCE)
MOVER – BRIAN HARRISON – BC HOCKEY
SECONDER – CHUCK CAMPBELL – BC HOCKEY
CARRIED

### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, **Resolution #5.** This is with regard to terms and the intent of this clarification was to make sure that the wording reflected what we want you, or what you want to do. Any discussion with regard to this – Lynn?

#### **DISCUSSION - QUESTION & ANSWER**

# Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

So it's Lynn Kiang from Pacific Coast. So I think in our discussions we had a concern about limiting the terms for the Board, and I think we wanted to hear or understand a bit more why you wanted to – to do that, because the Board positions are all volunteer positions and we know from our own experiences in our Minor Hockey Associations and at our District levels that it is not that easy to get volunteers, and when you get good volunteers you want them to be able to continue in the role that they're doing a job, and when we get to BC Hockey, who is our leader for the entire province, we want to make sure we have the best possible individuals. So the question was, by limiting it to 8 are we in – am I on the wrong one?

#### ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

I think you're on 6.

#### LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Oh, I'm sorry, my apologies, I'm one ahead.

#### ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

She's one ahead.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY**

Yeah, we'll call that one getting even with Barry. All right, number 5, question has been called, all those in favour? Opposed? That's carried.







MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-05 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Number 6. I'm going to ask the President of Pacific Coast, Lynn Kiang, to please speak to this motion.

#### **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

# Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

I am so sorry, I'm not doing a very good job here. Okay, so we were concerned about limiting the terms because, you know, of the volunteer nature and wanting to ensure consistency, and you know, the best possible job. We do understand that it is always necessary to ensure that there is some turnover because new ideas and new people are important to any organization's ability to move in forward. So just in terms of a balance, we wondered why 8, why not 10 maybe, as perhaps a better number, or is there a particular reason why this is coming forward?

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Lynn, I'll defer to Chuck, you were on the Governance Committee?

# CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

That was just a test.

# A. CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR BC HOCKEY

But I just have a – I have a comment, Lynn. I don't disagree with both the issue, the – you know, the right to raise the issue and consider it, but that's not related to this particular motion, because all this motion is doing is clarifying the wording for the 8-year maximum term, and I think if we're going to debate whether this should be changed to some other period of time, we would need a motion to do that. So I think – I think there's nothing wrong with bringing that forward at next year's meeting, but I think the discussion has to be restricted to discussing just the issue that's before us here, which is a wording change to clarify the wording for the existing Rule.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

We could vote on it the way it is, we could amend it to 10 years, or we could accept it the way it is because it's not going to affect anybody at this point in our early Governance years, and refer it back afterwards to Governance for consideration.

Barry?







#### A. <u>BARRY PETRACHENKO – CEO – BC HOCKEY</u>

Randy, just a clarification. Chuck, I think what you were trying to explain was that we really can't amend it to 10 years or 12 years or any number of years, in that, 8 years already exists. The purpose of this Resolution is to clarify, because the Board had identified a concern with the wording that there were – there were nuances to it that would cut it from 8 to 7 or – I can't remember the details, but it just – the wording doesn't work as existing. The intent was not to review the number of years. That doesn't mean you can't ask the question, Lynn, as to why 8 was originally there, I'm not sure we know, it was picked as a part of the original Governance restructure.

#### Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Yeah, I see your point and so I accept that. I would like to ask for the Governance Committee to take a look at that for next year and then we would support the motion as it's written.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Okay, are we fine to roll on this as far as voting goes then? Good, all right, all those in favour? Opposed? That's carried. Thank you very much.

MOTION – RESOLUTION 2018-06 MOVER – ROBERT NASATO SECONDER – LYNN KIANG CARRIED

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Resolution 6.

#### ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Resolution 7.

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

That was a test too, that was a test. Lots of tests today for a retired school teacher. Okay, Chair selection – Harbs, you're coming up to chat?

#### **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

#### **HARBS BAINS**

Do I have to use a mike?







# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

Please.

#### Q. HARBS BAINS

I don't think I've ever actually used the mike, but I would ask, mindful of the people that wrote this, I'm respectful of everybody's time, I would urge my fellow members to reject this, defeat this. I'm a little bit concerned because the Chair should be the sober second thought. It's not a popularity contest where behind closed doors, respectful of people that are here. It's already the feeling of some folks, at least, that the membership needs to find – is limited in their ability to be heard, and having this passed would just add fuel to that perceived power, or fire. Also, mindful of people that wrote this, I know how difficult it is to write this stuff. The fact that this argument for this is that the Directors work closely together and are in good position to delegate the strengths and abilities of fellow Directors and the ability to be a good Chair is not strong. Sometimes you have differing opinions, does that mean a Director is not good? I think at the end of the day it's great to have healthy debate, that discourse is very good. Our members have diverse thoughts, perspectives, and also represent members that have conflicting thoughts as well, but having a Chair that's responsible for the actions of the Board directed to the members is empowering. It's something that we should not be - think light of. Thanks.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you. Andrew – sorry, Rob, he beat you to the punch.

#### A. ANDREW JAKUBEIT – DIRECTOR – BC HOCKEY

The flip side of that is, we get together predominately once a year with the Membership and you don't always get a chance to hear the various members of the Board of Directors how they feel on an issue, how they – how they interact or how they participate. Maybe if they come out of your District you have a little bit of knowledge of who they are. So in that respect, when we have our monthly meetings, video conference or are face to face, the Board usually has a better grip on – on who is quite active in participation of our meetings, regardless of their views aligning or not aligning with other members on that Board and how they conduct themselves and how they would be potentially a good leader for the organization. So there is a flip side, I respect that point of view as well, so I just wanted to make sure we had that balance. Thank you.

# Q. ROBERT NASATO, NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. I'm opposed to this, but really to me this is the discussion of whether you believe in the school board model versus the Mayor and Council model, right? We have a Mayor nearby who – you know, people vote for the head and it's an important, empowering to the electorate, that's one of the reasons, but the second reason I ask people to consider rejecting this is more than anything, we're only what, 3 years into our new Governance model and you want to change it again. I just think it's too early to change the structure again, that's all. Now if this was 5 years, 8 years out and we saw from years of history that it wasn't working the way we'd hoped it was, then I wouldn't have a problem with what you're saying, Andrew, but we're only 3 years into this process and I just – changing the structure and changing the structure like, blah, is that a benefit really to







change it that fast, you really don't know. Sure, you know what's happened the last year or two, but you don't know long run this is not the right model. So that's – that's my – that's the reason I'm going to oppose it. But again, I get your point, I'm not saying it's a horrible point, I just think it's – takes power away from us and it's also so soon in the process of our Governance model that it's wrong to change it this quickly, that's all.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I can say – I got a couple of people ahead of me. Chuck?

# A. CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

Just one comment. In many organizations, including hockey organizations, I have served on Boards where the Chair of Board, President or whatever, did not enjoy the support of the Board. That makes it a very difficult time for the people who are involved in running the organization. In an organization like this, with the structure that we have, I think it's imperative that the Chair have the support of the Board in order that the Board function efficiently and effectively, and the best way to ensure that that happens is to have the Board elect the Chair. Thank you.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY**

Thank you, Chuck. Mr. Harrison?

#### A. BRIAN HARRISON - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

Yes, I too have had experience with both models, having served on the Regional District for 15 years, and each year the Board members elected the Chair, and Chuck is absolutely right, that the person who normally became the Chair of the Board was somebody that the Board members supported and the Organization moved forward very, very quickly, and very effectively and efficiently. And I contrast that to the Municipal system where the Mayor is elected separately from the – from the group, and we only have to pick up the paper to find out that there have been conflicts in many municipalities this past year, last term in particular, so I support the idea of the Chair – the members of the Board electing the Chair from within their group, because they know who is going to help with the Organization and the direction you want it to go.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Brian.

#### Q. DAVID HORRICKS – SAANICH

David Horricks, Saanich. I've – I've sat on many Boards and am again on a Board, and all of the Board members are elected. That's true in this case too, correct? So my experience is that the Chair has been selected by the elected Board for reasons, and I think that, that my experience is that when that







doesn't happen we have lots of problems, so I would actually support the motion as it stands for those reasons.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY**

Thank you very much. Any further discussion? All right, hearing none, all those in favour? Hold them high. Opposed? I don't think we're over the hill, no. I would – I would rule that on the basis that we require a 75% majority we're – we're not there, so that motion is defeated.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-07 DEFEATED

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

All right, so we're now on **8**, right, now on **8**. All right, Bylaw 526-30. Since – yeah, I was just going to say, since the last motion was defeated, those will be withdrawn.

#### **NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY**

Which Resolutions, Randy, which ones did you just say were withdrawn?

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Resolution 8.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-08 WITHDRAWN

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Bylaw 9, or **Resolution 9**, sorry, it's Bylaw 533. This is the suggestion to – oh, go ahead, Rob.

#### **DISCUSSION - QUESTION & ANSWER**

#### Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. I don't know how many of you read these packages as many times as I did, but this is the one that jumped out, and my first time I wrote, no, across the whole page. In our Canadian democracy, we have the freedom to choose and you use your own knowledge to decide who you're going to vote for. This forces you to vote for someone you may not want. All the Municipal elections in Canada use the existing method we're using right now. What you're proposing does exist in a form of Democracy but it's in Russia, it's not here. Now....

#### A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Now I've got to correct you there, Rob. It is used by many Boards in many very powerful Associations such as the IIHF, IOC, it's not isolated to our friend, Mr. Putin.







# Q. <u>ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER</u>

In Canada I don't know any places that use this type of method in our regular voting. It really is taking away your ability to choose. I don't know a lot of the people on the Board and I will not be voting for everybody, but people may construe that as trying to block vote someone in, it's not in my case. In my case it's I try to find who I want to vote for and vote for them. I may not know Chuck Campbell and if I don't know him I'm not going to vote for him. Now I nominated him a few years ago so I will vote for him, but that's another story. Point being, this makes you put a check mark down or an "X" on someone you may not want to because you don't know. It's a fundamental Democratic choice that I think is wrong, that's it.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

That's fine. Any other - further discussion?

#### Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Could you please tell us why it is you would want this?

#### A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON

Okay, what – what it is designed, and now I'll speak on Grant's behalf, it was a recommendation that originally came to the Governance Committee from the Nominating Committee because of voting irregularities; what it is called is, "**Plumping of Votes**", Lynn. What you have is say a block of 50 constituents that want to make sure that Pete gets in, so they only vote for Pete. If they were voting for four candidates, let's say, those three candidates may have been given an unfair advantage because of the plumping that was intentionally done. This is entirely your choice as to whether we go to this model, and I think you got to know that the Board is going to respect your wishes here, and there was certainly nothing untowards here, and in many realms this is thought of as – as actually making sure that Democracy does work and that you're – you're giving all of your – all of your votes, making each one count, so that's kind of the long-term rationale behind it and where it came from. Any other questions, comments? One coming from the back microphone, go ahead, sir.

# Q. MARK BARLOW - PRINCE GEORGE

Mark Barlow, Prince George. One of the things, we look at this and as a rural Association, being from the north, being from your home town...

#### **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – PRINCE GEORGE**

That's right.

#### Q. MARK BARLOW - PRINCE GEORGE

...when we make our votes we vote, as Rob says, for the people we know, and/or – and/or as when the speaking is done, those people ahead of us that are going to be talking about the Associations, what we want to do, going in our directions. With no knowledge of all the nominees and forced to fill out a







ballot, to fill it out just so you – for counting purposes or plumping, we end up in a situation where I got a name like, Putin, on the bottom of a ballot, I'll put his name down, and we might have that man on the Board, because nobody knows him. If we're going to have to vote for 4 and I only know 2, one I don't like, the other rest I don't know, we're voting for one and that's my right. I should not have to vote for anybody else other than who we support, that's democratic – that's democracy. I rarely agree with Rob down there but I agree with him this time. Well done.

#### A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

This is – believe me, the Board has absolutely no problem in respecting your wishes, you've got to understand that, and this was put before you thought of as an improvement and if it is the wishes of the Membership not to proceed in this direction, believe me, the Rocky Mountains aren't going to fall down, folks. Andrew?

# A. ANDREW JAKUBEIT - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

And I can say, from the Board, it actually was quite a contentious, well not contentious, it was quite a debate amongst us as well. Not all of us agreed with this, but some of the comments that just came out here, if I don't know someone I shouldn't vote for them, it kind of flies in the face of what we're attempting to do in terms of getting subject matter experts or a diversity on our Board with different expertise and different fields, different sports, and so – and – and we have two new candidates here. How can we go out and get new candidates if there is a portion of the Membership who will say, well I'm not going to vote for someone unless I know them, unless they came from my District, or my District is telling me to vote from, and that's sort of what – I would like to think we're smarter than that, we're better than that, and this plumping is probably a minute or a minor thing that happens, so I'm not – we're not saying for or against this motion here, 'cause I'll vote the way the Board has – has chosen to vote. But I just want to be mindful here, we are trying very hard to have some diversity on our – our Board here that can represent the whole province for Hockey BC and so we – we hope that people really take the time to educate themselves on who some of these new candidates are, or new people are, and encourage them to continue looking to help BC Hockey be better, so thank you.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Andrew, and yeah, Chuck?

# A. CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

I'd just like to point out for information, if you read at the bottom of the page this is the one Resolution before you that the Board does not put forward with an endorsement from the Board. The Board was split on this during the Board discussion. The Resolution is put forward by the Board because the Nominating Committee asked that it be put forward to the members for discussion by the members, and the Board is not endorsing – is not taking a position on this particular Resolution. And as Randy said, we're guided completely by – yes, we are, in everything anyway, guided completely by what you decide.

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>







Thanks, Chuck.

# Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Sorry, Rob Nasato, New West. Just on a minor point, because I've made my point on the motion. I want to thank the Board for changing the procedures and putting this in. The point Chuck made where the Board's position is clear here, that it isn't unanimous, and the – and the Procedure's package, the beginning of the package, came out of the conflicts and discussions we had last year. And sometimes you have to come through conflict to come to a better process, and I'd just like to say to the Board as a whole that your process has improved. Thank you.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you.

# Q. BRUCE PINEL - SAANICH MINOR HOCKEY

Hi, it's Bruce Pinel from Saanich Minor Hockey. So, I would support rejecting this, but I also think there's a two-part solution, is I don't believe we should vote for people we don't know, and part of the solution is members need to make an effort to get to know who's applying for the positions by doing the research, reading the biographies, and also, the other half is to have perhaps improved communication from BC Hockey to promote the people or advertise what they bring in and make sure it is very clear so there is more knowledge and we can vote that way. So I support rejecting it, but improved communication on both ends.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Chuck?

# A. CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

The Board has taken steps in the last 2 years to improve the communication by releasing statements by the nominees onto the website prior to the AGM and by having the videos and having the – the presentations that are made to try to give you what information we can. It is certainly better that people research and come to a conclusion, but there's a lot of people believe that if you have – aren't able to do that and you – and you either oppose the candidates or you have – believe even after the research, that you have insufficient knowledge that you have the right not to vote, that's a perfectly legitimate position to take. We have done what we can in the last several years to increase the exposure that you have to the candidates, again this morning at the President's breakfast and on the information that's available on the website.

#### A. CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

If you take a look – thank you, Chuck. If you take a look at **Resolution 15**, it moves those dates back. What we're trying to do – one of the complaints that we had was that, look, we – you know, we're too rushed, we don't get a chance to look at the candidates at the – at our District AGMs, so again, speaking







to the intent of the Board, I've got to compliment them again. This Board is dedicated to you people; they want to do the best for you. So with that said, I'll reiterate, Rocky Mountains aren't falling down if this doesn't go through. It was brought to you as a suggestion for trying to make the process what many believe is a bit of an improved process but – any further discussion? Question has been called, all those in favour? Opposed? That motion is defeated.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-09 DEFEATED

### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

**Resolution 10.** Resolution 10 is a little bit of housekeeping because of the problems we had with nominating and Nominations Committees, that terminology was getting a little bit misused in places, so for consistency sake we tried to get that clarified for you. The hockey – the hockey game is not going to change. The Hockey Development Committee is deleted by this change, simply because we believe that it is more effective to have – if we've got a particular problem or we've got a situation that's coming up or evolving, we can assign it to a specific Task Team of specific subject matter experts on that topic. Right now the composition of the Hockey Development Committee is a cross sector - is the members of all the Program committees, might they be experts in a particular area; they can't be experts on everything. So we decided that we did not need that Committee any longer as a Standing Committee and felt we would be much more nimble and much more effective going to assigning them task teams. Okay, I hope that – any discussion? Hearing – seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? Yeah, we got 75% of those votes cast.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-10 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, **Resolution 11**, is to basically do what we just did. It was to delete entirely the HDC. Any discussion? Seeing none – oh, are you moving to a mike or just sitting down? Okay, that's all right, that's the best thing to do, look around, they aren't talking about me. All those in favour? Opposed? That's carried.

MOTION – RESOLUTION 2018-11 MOVER – ROBERT NASATO SECONDER – LYNN KIANG CARRIED

CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY Okay, Resolution 12.







# **ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER**

Don't read it.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

No, I'm not going to read it, trust me. Discussion? These are so eloquent in that Governance group. Seeing no discussion, I'll anticipate the question being called. All those in favour? Opposed? That Resolution is carried.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-12 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, **Resolution 13** pertains to Regulation 2.09, and I see we have a young fellow up at the mike here to speak.

# **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**

# Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. The point that concerns me in this is the deletion of point number "C". I'd like to know why that's included here. That effectively – that point protects "C" hockey, you know, a Bantam "C" team can't have a PeeWee "A" player playing on it. It's an important – it's important to protect Recreational "C" hockey and I don't understand why this is in there, so I've asked the question first because I'm going to probably make a motion once I hear the reasoning.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, Barry.

# A. BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

With respect to portion "C", that's better suited to Policy for two reasons. One, it just fits better there removing affiliation specificities out of the Regulations, but more importantly, the second reason is so that if - when we go to the Minor Committee with that policy we can find out if all Districts require that limitation or if it only pertains to certain Districts and we can adjust the policy accordingly.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Left [sic] hand tying more nimble.

# Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST







I guess the question that is being asked in this circle here is, in terms of it being in Policy and the ability to adjust it such that one District might allow a Rep player to play on a Bantam "C" team, a PeeWee Rep player to play on Bantam's Recreational team and a different District not doing that seems inconsistent, especially when they play in tournaments and they're playing against each other.

# A. <u>BARRY PETRACHENKO – CEO – BC HOCKEY</u>

The case is that in some Districts it's necessary because of the way teams are formed in small Associations, and the bottom line is, it's a quite simple process. We move it to Policy, if all Districts are in favour that policy goes in exactly as written, so it's just a move from the Regulation to the Policy, and in the process of doing so we get to learn whether or not – this went into the book at a time when the belief of the Executive Committee was that we turn down many things because they didn't work in this District or that District but several other Districts wanted them. It was just felt that the process of today of checking with all the Districts to ensure whether there's a need or a special circumstance would be a good part of the process. But the easy answer for you is, is that it's more suited to Policy and can very easily go across to Policy by way of the Minor Committee recommendation in the next couple of weeks.

# Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

So....

#### **NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY**

Barry, Randy, can I make a comment? Oh, sorry.

#### Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Sorry, so I'll just say for the Pacific Coast Associations that I will make sure that I bring this forward to the Minor Committee on their behalf.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, young lady. Mr. McNabb?

## A. <u>NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY</u>

Yeah, in the past we've seen in some of the more – in the areas that have had some geographic difficulties where they've had enough players to make a Rep team and half a Recreational team, or the other way around. In some cases we've had 9 or 10 kids in a community that have had to quit playing hockey in that community 'cause they've had no place to play. This gives that community a way of keeping kids play in hockey, so I'd vote – suggest you vote in favour to move it to Policy rather than Regulation so we can do that variance.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, makes sense I hope. All right, okay, so **Regulation 2.09**, all those in favour? Opposed? Wonderful, carried.







MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-13 (2.09)
MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER
SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST
CARRIED

# <u>CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY</u>

**Resolution 14** is Regulation 4.08, discussion? All right, seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? It's carried.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-14 (4.08c)
MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER
SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST
CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

**Resolution 15** is with regard to nominations and timing of nominations, and as I eluded to just earlier, this came from discussions amongst the Directors because we all have experience on Minor Hockey and Associations where there – there have been touch times where they want to discuss these people ahead of time but because the candidates aren't published for consideration we've got some flack about moving those dates up. So the intent here is to help you be able to examine the credentials of these at your District meetings. Rob?

# Q. ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER

Robert Nasato, New West. I don't have a problem with the general direction of this and I'm glad you made that point, Randy. I did have a question on points – 10.09 and 10.11. I wonder if those need to be – you've moved everything 30 days and because you've moved everything, I get it, you're getting the – but once we get those packages, say we see something we don't know, you know what, we don't like this slate of people that have been put forward, you've [sic] only giving us 15 days to come up with, you know, last minute candidates that we could put forward, and I just wonder, practically speaking, if 9 and 11 need to be moved 30 days as well. Maybe they need to be 15. Like, is there a reason why those two also need to be moved 30, other – I mean, I get you want to move them out so people get the information, but do they need to be 30 is my question? Could – would 15 work and give – it would give a 30 day gap between the Nominating Committee's submissions and then last minute, but it would still increase the time so members could still get the information, does that make sense, I hope? So, in effect, I'm saying, why doesn't number 9 not say, say 45 days, so you still get more time, and number 11 not say, say 30 days? Still get enough time to get every information to the – to the membership as a whole, but it spreads that window for, you know – okay.

# A. BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

I understand the question. I think that that was a bit of an administrative item as well built into this where the added days – there's a fair amount of work that we do to make travel arrangements, do videos, post information on - in preparation for any election, so the earlier the better for us, so to speak,







so that was a factor in the decision. I wasn't part of the drafting of this so I don't know all the ins and outs of it, but I know that the Governance Committee talked about that and we looked at the fact that the extra days in advance of the meeting, should we need to do some of those preparations would only serve to help us.

# Q. <u>ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER</u>

Okay, thank you, I'll just say, I will support it. I would just like to put on record though, that we need to be cognizant of the fact that that is a tight timeline between the initial submission and then the deadline for last minute submissions by members and maybe that one will have to change going forward, but I'm willing to support it as is for those reasons.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Rob. Okay, any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? That motion is carried.

MOTION – RESOLUTION 2018-15 MOVER – ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – LYNN KIANG – PACIFIC COAST CARRIED

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Regulation 10.10, Resolution #16, that will be dropped as of – Chuck?

# CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

Yeah, I'd like to move an amendment to this to make it consistent with the motion that we just approved, that it read:

"All candidates will have until 60 days prior to the Annual General Meeting to further indicate their desire to run for the Chair position."

That is just to make it consistent with the motion we just approved.

#### ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER

Second, Rob Nasato, New West.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY**

So that's an amendment to 60? I wish I had my legal beagle here. Okay.

# **DISCUSSION – QUESTION & ANSWER**







#### Q. LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST

Chuck, is that in order, because the motion is just to delete that altogether?

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Yeah.

# A. <u>CHUCK CAMPBELL – DIRECTOR – BC HOCKEY</u>

Well the motion was actually – the purpose of the motion was to bring this in line with the other Bylaws that we had, and what I'm suggesting is that it's a different Bylaw we need to bring it in line with now. The intention was to delete it because if the other one had gone through the whole process would not be there, but given what we're doing in – as a result of Resolution 15, it would make sense to change this to be consistent with that, and the purpose is just to make the Bylaws, or the Regulations consistent, and so I think it is consistent.

#### **NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY**

Randy, would it not require an amendment, though, 'cause it's....

#### CHUCK CAMPBELL - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

I did make an amendment.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY**

Proposed an amendment, and I'm sorry, folks, I'm just trying to work this through my head as to whether or not we would be – the proposal is that it be deleted. I don't know how you amend a deletion, so I think we've got to withdraw. Withdraw the amendment, okay. Yeah, it will be brought forward next year. We'll withdraw that.

# MOTION – RESOLUTION 2018-16 WITHDRAWN (TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD AT 2019 AGM)

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, all right, 17. Rob, if I get this done in under a minute you're buying? **Resolution 17**, it affects all of the Resolution – or Bylaws, Regulations and Articles and what it does is let my friend next to me here make sure that if there are any typos, plurals, singular errors, to be corrected. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favour? Opposed? That motion is carried.

MOTION - RESOLUTION 2018-17 MOVER - ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER - LYNN KIANG - PACIFIC COAST CARRIED







#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON – BC HOCKEY

Okay, thank you all very much for your patience and attention. The changes you've made to our Bylaws and Regulations will appear in the new handbook that will be produced and circulated during the next season.

#### **ELECTIONS**

I would now like to welcome you to the Election of the BC Hockey AGM, Election time. Good afternoon, everyone. I also am going to be serving as your Nominating Chair, as Michael Bruni was unable to make it over from – or get out of a family commitment. So on behalf of Mike and the rest of the Nominating Committee, which consisted of Bill Ennos, Chuck Gallacher, Bruce Hamilton, and Alan Matthews, I want to explain that all of these candidates that were put before you were vetted. I'm pleased to lead the election process here today on behalf of you.

A report has been circulated. This report is pursuant to the Bylaws and Regulations and identifies the following key operational steps.

For the position of **Chair of the Board** of BC Hockey, in accordance with Regulations – Regulation – Bylaw 10.10, one nominee, Bill Greene, has declared his desire to run for the position of Board Chair, therefore, I declare **Bill Greene** of **BC Hockey Board of Directors Chair**.

For the position of **BC Hockey Directors**, we have 5 nominations. These 5 are vying for 5 Director positions. At this point in time BC Hockey Board requires the 5 Directors to be elected to join 3 Directors entering the second year of their two-year term. The fifth Director elected will be a one-year term to fill the vacancy that resulted from Cindy Secord's resignation from the Board on May 25<sup>th</sup>. In alphabetical order, the 5 candidates for the position of BC Hockey Directors are:

Darryl Lerum
John MacMillan
Neil Mc Nabb
Stephanie White
Grant Zimmerman

Please note that your ballot also has the names of Andy Gilbert and Cecelia Ponzi. Andy and Cecelia have withdrawn their names from the slate due to personal reasons. Please disregard these names on the ballot, and if I was back at school I'd tell you to write a line right across their name right now.

I would like to explain the process, which is outlined in 531-35. The 2018 AGM needs to elect four Directors to two-year terms, one to a one-year term from a slate of 5 candidates. The process will take place on one ballot, unless of course there's a tie.

Given that the number of Board positions is equal to the number of candidates, the selection of the vacant one-year term will be determined from an election of the 4 Director positions for two-year terms.







The nominee with the least number of votes will serve the one-year term. If there's a tie for the final two-year Director positions, the names of the tied nominees shall appear on a new ballot and the voting procedure will re-continue. In the event of the candidates remaining tied after the final position, or positions through three consecutive ballots, the final Director's position shall be filled by a random draw from amongst the tied nominees.

Okay, each delegate or Director in attendance at the AGM shall receive – shall receive a number of ballots equal to the number of votes that the delegate or Director is entitled to cast. Any ballot containing votes for a number of nominees greater than 4 available for the two-year terms shall be considered as spoiled. So you're not voting for 5, you're voting for 4.

Prior to voting, Regulation 10.12 states,

"Each candidate may choose to have up to two minutes at the Annual General Meeting to address the members."

I will now call upon the nominees in alphabetical order for the opportunity to address the Membership. Those not in attendance we'll give you a quick video.

So first, **Darryl Lerum.** 

#### **NOMINEE'S SPEECHES**

#### DARRYL LERUM

Good afternoon, everybody. I was born and raised in Prince George where I played Minor Hockey and a short time I played Junior A hockey.

I moved my family to Fort St. James in 1992 after my son started hockey in 1991, and in 1999 I started volunteering within the Association, then within the District, holding several positions.

I was voted as a Northwest District Director in 2008 and held this position for 7 years, and now within the new Governance structure I have held the position for Director for the past three-years.

I am a strong supporter of the new Governance structure and because of my background, experience, both through hockey and my supervisor role I feel comfortable in serving of any Standing Committee whenever the Chair would like me to serve. Last year I was Chair of the HR Committee and was a member of the Finance Committee, and liaison for the Male Major Midget.

With the new Governance model and a strong Board of Directors we are headed into the right direction. With good communication it will take all of us to work in as a team to keep this game the best game in BC. I'd like again to be part of this team and stand before you to ask for your support in remaining as







a valid Director and dedicated team player. I make this commitment without hesitation or reservation. Thank you.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Darryl. AV, have we got the video from John MacMillan ready to go? All right.

# JOHN MacMILLAN (VIA VIDEO)

Hi, I'm John MacMillan from Victoria. I need to open with an apology. It's looking like I won't be able to join you at the Congress. I was looking forward to meeting many of you; it will have to be at another time.

I would like to tell you a little bit about myself. I spent 20 years as a Director, Executive and Coach in the sporting world. I was part of the coaching staff of the 2003 Rugby World Cup. I'm currently with an organization called, "94 Forward", we manage a legacy from the Commonwealth Games. Our primary role is the stewardship of \$20M and putting the proceeds of that fund to work funding elite level programs. I'm reconnecting to hockey through my 10-year old son. We just finished first year Atom and it was a good year - good refereeing, good coaching, good competition. I was left with one thought, though, at the conclusion of the season, and that is that good really isn't the goal, the goal in Canada where hockey is number one should be great. That should be greatness regardless of age, ability, or gender.

I see a lot of positive signs in the development of hockey in the province. I see that you're in your 3<sup>rd</sup> year of your strategic plan. I had a few thoughts upon reviewing the materials. The Organizational Development Work Group seemed like a very strong initiative, essentially to help volunteers through technology. I'm in favour of any initiative that eliminates the abuse of referees and officials. Obviously, with my coaching background I'm a strong supporter of coaching development, and then lastly, with models that we consider going forward, development of goals I think should always be paired with what are the cost implications.

As a Director I believe the role of BC Hockey is to derive great programs across the province, support Clubs in their desire to advance, and then lastly, to play a part in the national effort to grow the game. Thank you very much for your time.

# **CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY**

You don't have to clap, he's not here. Please don't dance with bears. I'd now like to call upon Neil Mc Nabb.

#### **NEIL MC NABB**







A bit of an obstacle course of waiting those lights. Hi, I'm Neil McNabb, and I'm here today to ask for your support as I run for Director of BC Hockey.

For those of you that don't know me, I'm retired from telecommunications. I spent 42 years in the business, mostly as a manager.

I've been involved with hockey since I was a little guy, mostly as a goalie in Saskatchewan. I've been involved with hockey as a volunteer since back in my Prince George days in the early '90's, with Cloverdale Minor Hockey for over 10 years, and I've held most of the positions within an Association from team parent, coach, coaching coordinator, tournament coordinator, Director and Vice-President. I've been involved in the small communities; I grew up in Grenville, and the bigger cities, the large Districts, and at the National level, so I am able to understand all of the demographics in our very province.

I was also involved with the Municipal Ice Allocation Committee in Surrey representing Cloverdale, White Rock, and Surrey for 10 years, so I understand the demographic issues and the facility issues regarding ice facilitation.

I've got a lot of District experience, as I was involved with the Lower Mainland District, Pacific Coast, as far back as 1993 when I started attending league meetings.

I was then elected to various offices within the District, Managing Director for several years, VP for three years, and President of the District for two years. I've been a BC Hockey Director, a Vice President, a District Director and a BC Hockey Officer.

Now we spent the last few years working through the Governance model and that's been our focus. Last year we started doing the minor adjustments to focus on the player and putting the player first. That's what I need [sic] we believe to continue to focus on to meeting the needs of the players, coaches, parents and our members. To do this we need to listen to all your concerns and suggestions. Well if I'm elected I'm going to focus on listening to you and trying to put into place policies that will support your concerns and your issues. I'm asking for your support. Thank you.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I'm now going to call upon Stephanie White to come up and give her address to you, Stephanie.

# **STEPHANIE WHITE**

Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you for - Chair for the opportunity to speak.

Hopefully, you were able to do the homework, I guess, that was put out there and were able to see my video. I want to thank you and – and hope you will support my nomination.







I guess, in general, I will tell you I'm new to BC, and hockey has been part of my life for over 40 years, not that I want to give my age away, but I – I have settled here, I have settled in Nanaimo. I work at Vancouver Island University, and I was ready to give back to a sport that's given me so much in my life and so that's why I wanted to have this opportunity to be part of BC Hockey and the Board of Directors.

Some of my experience, I have a marketing background. I moved into higher ed. through sport as an Athletic Director. I also have experience, a bit of my education is in – within marketing, and as well I have my Masters in Leadership, which has served me well. I have been a player. When I was seven my parents put me into Minor Hockey, and I continued to play throughout my life until really I started coaching at a level that I had to focus on my coaching, and so I've coached High Performance Level. I started in House League coaching, worked my way to High Performance provincially, and I was about five years with Hockey Canada coaching on the female side.

I come to serve on this Board with no agenda, except to serve the membership and to try and help continue to grow a game that has fundamentally changed my life for the positive, and I want to work with the members to make sure that we're continuing to move this sport forward, and so really I'm hoping that you will support me, and I look forward to getting to know all of you, whether you're at the front of the room or the back of the room over the course of this week, or well, this weekend and moving forward, so thank you.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Thank you very much, Stephanie. Grant Zimmerman was unable to join us this weekend. His senior partner in his law firm is celebrating his 50th year practicing law and Grant – Grant had a little bit of a task to make sure that the event that they're having for this gentleman in Jasper went off well. So Grant is unable to join us. He sends his sincere regrets, and unfortunately, when I talked to him earlier today, his video is somewhere in cyberspace, which I've seen before.

Okay, when it comes to the nominees I will once again give you them in alphabetical order:

DARRYL LERUM
JOHN MacMILLAN
NEIL MC NABB
STEPHANIE WHITE
GRANT ZIMMERMAN

Completing and submitting your ballot, the bottom portion – the bottom portion of your ballot should be detached. I'm trying to do the full meal deal here; oh no, full monty, that's what it was. Keep the remaining portion of the ballot card selected numbered 1, 2, and 3 in case there's a subsequent vote. Plan an "X" beside each candidate you are voting for. Remember, more than 4 candidates marked with an "X" will be spoiled. We would ask you don't fold the ballots to save time for the folks counting them. Once completed, I ask that you place the ballots into a ballot box. We will be sending Scrutineers







around to collect your ballots. Each delegate can only submit a maximum of 3 ballots, based on the voting form submitted by the membership at the voting registration table. So I will let you start flying at that and once we've got that we should be able to have an answer fairly promptly.

(<u>NOTE</u>: AT THIS POINT THE VOTING PROCESS BEGINS, BALLOTS ARE COLLECTED AND AWAIT ELECTION RESULTS)

# BARRY PETRACHENKO – CEO – BC HOCKEY

Attention, is there a Randy Henderson in the building, Randy Henderson?

# NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY

He's dancing with the bear.

#### BARRY PETRACHENKO - CEO - BC HOCKEY

All right, he got us. Randy is....

#### **NEIL MC NABB - DIRECTOR - BC HOCKEY**

I understand he is dancing with the bear.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Okay, ladies and gentlemen, we have the results and it's my pleasure to introduce your BC Hockey Board of Directors for 2018/19, and would ask them to take their place at the front of the room.

#### **BC Hockey Board Chair - BILL GREENE**

**BC Hockey Directors** entering their 2<sup>nd</sup> year of a 2-year term:

CHUCK CAMPBELL BRIAN HARRISON ANDREW JAKUBEIT

Those elected to a **2-year term**:

DARRYL LERUM JOHN MacMILLAN NEIL MC NABB STEPHANIE WHITE

The person elected to the 1-year term is GRANT ZIMMERMAN.

Can I please have a mover and seconder to destroy the ballots?







#### **ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER**

Robert Nasato, New West, move to destroy the ballots.

#### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

Seconded by Bill Greene. All those in favour? That motion is carried.

MOTION – TO DESTROY VOTING BALLOTS

MOVER – ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER

SECONDER – BILL GREENE – BC HOCKEY CHAIR (ELECT)

CARRIED

### CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I'd like to take a minute right now to thank all of you for your time and attention to detail. This has been, I know it's very cliché, but this truly has been a pleasure and an honour to represent you as your President for 1-year and Chair for the last three. Representing you at events such as the Hockey Canada meetings is an incredibly worthwhile experience that I went through. The guidance provided by your Board of Directors is incredible. These people have very acute moral compasses and always more than respectful of you as their members. We have – I've got a couple of highlights that I wanted to top off here with. Probably the biggest one was working about 9-10 years ago Chairing a Female Development Committee. That model was one of the first in Canada and served as a template for many Branches. We're very proud of that and I'd like to point to Cindy as one of the real driving forces on that, Bill Ennos, Sylvan Leone (ph). I want to thank them very much for their time there.

The meetings at Hockey Canada are tremendously impactful on hockey in the whole country. As I take a look back, not only at my career in education, but my time with the Board, seeing us returning and mandating the cross ice and shorter ice surfaces of players at IP and Novice, I couldn't be prouder to have voted in favour of that and pushed it.

I want to thank Joe Drago and Tom for being in attendance today. Their support of BC Hockey has been immeasurable. Tom, of course you know, grew up in BC, and Joe, I think who could very easily transplant him here in BC as a British Columbian.

That said, I'd like to welcome the new BC Hockey Chair of the Board, Bill Greene. Will you please come up and conclude the 2018 BC Hockey Annual General Meeting.

#### OTHER BUSINESS

#### CHAIRMAN (ELECT) - BILL GREENE - BC HOCKEY

Thank you, Randy. First off, I'm honoured to be elected as Chairman of the Board of BC Hockey. I want to make a commitment to all of you that I will do everything in my power to serve the needs of







you, the membership. But today is about something much more important, and that's to say thanks and honour our first Chair of the Board, Randy Henderson. Randy, I want to thank you for your leadership and guidance as the BC Hockey Chair of the Board, and at this time I would like to present you with a special gift. This is the BC Hockey ring to acknowledge and celebrate the service you have given our Branch as Board Chair and previously as President under your tenure. Randy has helped guide BC Hockey through Governance changes and has shown tremendous leadership throughout his time as President and Chairman of the Board. Thank you so much, Randy, and you've left a real legacy for us to try and keep up with, amazing. Thanks, please accept this.

And in addition to that we'd like to give Randy a little something he can put on his fireplace to remind him how much we appreciate everything he did, so Randy, please accept this as well from the Board of Directors.

# CHAIRMAN RANDY HENDERSON - BC HOCKEY

I'm glad Tom Renney isn't here because he would say, "Henderson want to talk, of course he does."

I want to reiterate to you the quality of your candidates that you have here and the support that you have in our office. We never would be where we are as far as leadership of Governance and programming in the country if it weren't for people like, to my right here, Barry Petrachenko and his staff. Bill Ennos has retired as of the end of March and creates quite a void that Barry's very busy trying to fill, but we have some tremendous support in our office. I think any of you who have tried to access the office to get that support know that it is there and know that it is real. We have a tremendously committed office and I can't thank them enough.

To you, the membership, from my perspective the future of hockey lies with you. Recruitment of players has got to become more than just a passing slogan, it is something that we really need to keep working on ideas and ways to attract more players to the game. The logical place to do that and to place an awful lot of emphasis is on the young ones, players in IP and Novice, and once they're at the rink too often we give the coach his jacket and a whistle, sometimes a helmet; depends on how much you like him, and off they go. But I got to tell you, they need your support as Executives, it's no different than our Officials do. They need your support as Executives to make sure that they're doing exactly what we want them to do, and that is, make sure that the kids go out on the ice and have fun. That is what is going to keep them coming back year after year. It is certainly what has kept me coming back year after year.

So, good luck on your future endeavors. I have every confidence that you have another very, very strong Board. These are a varied group, but a group that is very professional in the Board meetings. They are dedicated to this sport, like all of us are, and I hope that we can continue with these Branch engagement processes where we go to the Districts and have those meetings. Those are an integral part in you giving us your ideas partway through the season, and believe me, no one in the office would be adverse to, "Hey, we got a good idea on this, what do you guys down there think?" They're going to be receptive to good ideas, so please float them along.







The other one I want to urge you to do is celebrate your successes. Celebrate the successes of whether it's a jamboree for IP, Novice players, whether it's a tryout program, whether it's a Female Development weekend, please send those into Stacie or Keegan and make sure that the rest of the province knows what a great job you're doing. I know you're all doing a great job. The game is in good hands with you people. I respect and trust you that this will all come forward.

Finally, Board members, the one thing that I'm probably going to miss the most is the incredible relationships that we developed amongst the people we meet in the game. The outpouring of affection and compassion was certainly witnessed with the tragedy in Humboldt, but we have such an incredible hockey family. The Board of Directors, I'm proud to call them all my friends, and we worked very, very closely, work very, very hard for you. They all bring individual talents and skills and background knowledge to the table, and I would urge you to continue to support their great work. Board of Directors, thank you very much. Thank you, see you tonight.

#### CHAIRMAN (ELECT) - BILL GREENE - BC HOCKEY

Ladies and gentlemen, our friend, Randy Henderson. Okay, with that, I get the pleasure of closing the 2018 Annual General Meeting, and I'd like to get a mover and a seconder to adjourn the 99<sup>th</sup> BC Hockey AGM – Rob?

#### **ROBERT NASATO - NEW WESTMINSTER**

Rob Nasato, New West, motion to adjourn.

# <u>CHAIRMAN (ELECT) – BILL GREENE – BC HOCKEY</u>

All right, thank you very much, Rob. Can I have a seconder, please?

# **UNIDENTIFIED MALE – PRINCE GEORGE**

**(NOTE:** Not at microphone – inaudible.)

# <u>CHAIRMAN (ELECT) – BILL GREENE – BC HOCKEY</u>

All right, we'll take that one.

MOTION – TO ADJOURN 99<sup>TH</sup> 2018 BC HOCKEY AGM MOVER – ROBERT NASATO – NEW WESTMINSTER SECONDER – PRINCE GEORGE

# CHAIRMAN (ELECT) - BILL GREENE - BC HOCKEY

All right, I want to just remind you as we leave tonight, the 2019 BC Hockey Annual General Meeting will take place here at Sun Peaks at the Grand Hotel & Conference Centre June 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>, 2019, and







I hope everybody has enjoyed their weekend here at Sun Peaks and we look forward to you joining us tonight in our celebration with the Award Banquet and dinner, and thank you very much for coming.

# \*\*\*\* AGM CONCLUDED - 4:25 P.M. \*\*\*\*

#### **LEGEND**

[sic] – Indicates preceding word has been reproduced verbatim and is not a transcription error.

(ph) – Indicates preceding word has been spelled phonetically.

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, Pamela Thompson, certify that this is a true and accurate transcription of the Draft Minutes of the 2018 BC HOCKEY ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING held at Delta Sun Peaks, Kamloops, B.C. on Saturday, June 9, 2018 to the best of my skill and ability.

Pamela A. Thompson

Pamela A. Thompson, C.V.C.R.
Recording Secretary/Certified Verbatim Court Reporter



